


TARGETS FROM THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PLAN 2011-12

	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status																																																					
1. ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR TARGETS	1.1	<p>Reduce repeat callers to the Police, MAASBT and RSLs.</p> <p>Monitor all repeat callers to identify those who may be vulnerable. Provide support to them via the ASB Victim/Witness Support Officer.</p> <p>Baseline: We will assess the number of calls six months prior to the support and six months after.</p>	<p>All repeat callers to both the Police and the Local Authority are being monitored and cross-referenced. A cohort has been identified for the baseline and the six month update is due next quarter.</p>	<p>There is only one repeat caller where six months has elapsed since support has been offered. Calls reduced from 5 to 1 for this individual.</p>	<p>Most of ASB V/W support officer case load are not repeat callers and many are encouraged to contact the team so calls can increase meaning that there has not been a reduction in calls / contacts made.</p>		☺																																																					
	1.2	<p>Reduce the perception that ASB is a serious problem.</p> <p>Clarify what types of issues residents consider anti social, whether they report this and if so to whom (via focus groups). Measure satisfaction and perception levels in the Viewpoint/Council yearly survey.</p> <p>Baseline: 23.2% of residents perceived high levels of ASB from the Place Survey 2008</p>	<p>The perception survey is not due this quarter.</p> <p>Three focus groups have ran in quarter one; two were for adults and one was for young people.</p>	<p>The perception survey is not due this quarter.</p>	<p>No survey within this quarter. New Regional Resident Survey is in the process of being finalised to take place June 2012.</p>		n/a																																																					
	1.3	<p>Reduce Anti Social Behaviour in the top five wards.</p> <p>We will identify the wards with the highest levels of ASB. Through the Joint Action Group process support and diversionary resources will be directed to hotspots within these areas.</p> <p>Baseline: 2010/11* (reset since CSP printed)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stockton Town Centre - 2,541 (499) Mandale & Victoria - 1,161 (204) Hardwick - 1,073 (104) Parkfield & Oxbridge - 1,056 (68) Newtown - 893 (80) <p>* Police incidents minus AS2 & AS4 and (MAASBT service Reqs)</p>	<p>The top five wards were in a slightly different order in Q1. MAASBT Service requests have reduced in all wards except P&O. Police incidents have increased in each ward except Hardwick.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="824 1134 1128 1278"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>Police Inc</th> <th>MA-ASBT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>643 1%</td> <td>102 -18%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>368 27%</td> <td>37 -27%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>264 -2%</td> <td>22 -15%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>288 9%</td> <td>20 18%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>278 25%</td> <td>15 -25%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT	STC	643 1%	102 -18%	M&V	368 27%	37 -27%	H'wck	264 -2%	22 -15%	P&O	288 9%	20 18%	N'twn	278 25%	15 -25%	<p>The top five wards remain the same for quarter two. Police ASB incidents have increased by 7% in these wards.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1144 1059 1424 1203"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>Police Inc</th> <th>MA-ASBT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>1332 5%</td> <td>223 -11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>734 26%</td> <td>67 -34%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>546 2%</td> <td>74 42%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>512 -3%</td> <td>38 12%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>481 8%</td> <td>42 5%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT	STC	1332 5%	223 -11%	M&V	734 26%	67 -34%	H'wck	546 2%	74 42%	P&O	512 -3%	38 12%	N'twn	481 8%	42 5%	<p>The top five wards remain the same for quarter three. Police ASB incidents have increased by 6% in these wards.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1440 1059 1744 1203"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>Police Incs</th> <th>MA-ASBT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>1937 -4%</td> <td>395 6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>1031 10%</td> <td>104 -32%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>731 -15%</td> <td>97 24%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>733 -15%</td> <td>52 2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>637 -13%</td> <td>70 17%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ward	Police Incs	MA-ASBT	STC	1937 -4%	395 6%	M&V	1031 10%	104 -32%	H'wck	731 -15%	97 24%	P&O	733 -15%	52 2%	N'twn	637 -13%	70 17%	
Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT																																																										
STC	643 1%	102 -18%																																																										
M&V	368 27%	37 -27%																																																										
H'wck	264 -2%	22 -15%																																																										
P&O	288 9%	20 18%																																																										
N'twn	278 25%	15 -25%																																																										
Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT																																																										
STC	1332 5%	223 -11%																																																										
M&V	734 26%	67 -34%																																																										
H'wck	546 2%	74 42%																																																										
P&O	512 -3%	38 12%																																																										
N'twn	481 8%	42 5%																																																										
Ward	Police Incs	MA-ASBT																																																										
STC	1937 -4%	395 6%																																																										
M&V	1031 10%	104 -32%																																																										
H'wck	731 -15%	97 24%																																																										
P&O	733 -15%	52 2%																																																										
N'twn	637 -13%	70 17%																																																										


2. ALCOHOL RELATED CRIME AND ASB	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	2.1	<p>Increase the number of section 27 notices issued.</p> <p>Aim for an overall increase in 2011-12, particularly in the top five wards.</p> <p>Baseline: 390 taken from an average of 2009/10 and 2010/11.</p> <p>Top five wards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stockton Town Centre 2. Yarm 3. Norton North 4. Parkfield & Oxbridge 5. Stainsby Hill 	<p>103 Section 27s issued in Q1; a 6% increase on the baseline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STC 76 • Yarm 18 • M&V 3 • Norton North 3 • Eaglescliffe 1 • P&O 1 • Village 1 	<p>60 Section 27s issued in Q2; a vast reduction from Q1. 163 issued YTD, 16% reduction on the baseline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STC 48 • Yarm 7 • M&V 1 • Norton South 1 • Hardwick 1 • P&O 1 • B'ham Central 1 	<p>144 Section 27s issued in Q2; a vast improvement from Q2. 307 issued YTD- 5% increase on the baseline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STC 103 • Yarm 38 • P&O 2 • Norton North 1 		
	2.2	<p>Reduce the number of alcohol related crimes for those on an ATR.</p> <p>Cohort taken using ATR data to identify offending one year prior, during and one year after an ATR.</p> <p>Baseline: To be identified in 2011-12.</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year.</p> <p>Report to be presented at November SSP with findings.</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>		n/a
	2.3	<p>Reduce the number of alcohol related crimes for those on an ASAR</p> <p>Cohort taken using ASAR data to identify offending one year prior, during and one year after an ASAR.</p> <p>Baseline: To be identified in 2011-12.</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year.</p> <p>Report to be presented at November SSP with findings.</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>		n/a

3. VIOLENCE TARGETS

No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status																																										
3.1	<p>Maintain a reduction in Most Serious Violent Crime</p> <p>Reduce year on year</p> <p>Baseline: 82 taken from an average of 2009/10 and 2010/11</p>	<p>27 MSVCs in Q1; a reduction of 7 crimes (-20.6%) on Q1 2010-11. This is slightly above target but crime is always highest in Q1 and should even out.</p>	<p>52 MSVCs YTD; an increase of 5 crimes (+10.6%) on 2010-11 and 26.8% (11 crimes) above the target to achieve this year.</p>	<p>80 MSVCs YTD; an increase of 19 crimes (+31.1%) on 2010-11 and 30.1% (18.5 crimes) above the target for this year. This target will not be achieved.</p>		☹																																										
3.2	<p>Reduce Violence Against the Person offences in the top five wards.</p> <p>Use analysis to identify hotspots within wards and use this data to direct activity.</p> <p>Reduce by April 2012</p> <p>Baseline: 2010/11.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stockton Town Centre - 464 2. Parkfield & Oxbridge - 164 3. Mandale & Victoria - 150 4. Newtown - 141 5. Hardwick - 116 	<p>Overall violence has reduced by 1% in baseline top five wards, but there have been increase in three wards.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. STC - 107 (-8%) 2. P&O - 46 (+12%) 3. M&V - 40 (+7%) 4. N'twn - 41 (+16%) 5. H'wck - 22 (-24%) <p>NB: Hardwick did not feature in the top five wards for Q1 - Norton North was the 5th ward.</p>	<p>Overall violence has increased by 4.3% in the top five wards, compared to an overall 12.2% reduction for Borough.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>YTD</th> <th>% chg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>237</td> <td>2.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>87</td> <td>16.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>80</td> <td>-2.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'town</td> <td>78</td> <td>10.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wick</td> <td>58</td> <td>0.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total top 5</td> <td>540</td> <td>4.3%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>NB: Hardwick does not feature in the top five wards YTD (7th overall) B'ham East is the 5th ward.</p>	Ward	YTD	% chg	STC	237	2.2%	P&O	87	16.0%	M&V	80	-2.4%	N'town	78	10.6%	H'wick	58	0.0%	Total top 5	540	4.3%	<p>Overall violence has increased by 3.2% in the top five wards, compared to an overall 5.5% reduction for Borough.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>YTD</th> <th>% Chg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>363</td> <td>4.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>101</td> <td>-17.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>142</td> <td>26.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>113</td> <td>6.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>82</td> <td>-5.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>801</td> <td>3.2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>NB: Hardwick does not feature in the top five wards YTD (6th overall) B'ham East is the 5th ward.</p>	Ward	YTD	% Chg	STC	363	4.3%	P&O	101	-17.9%	M&V	142	26.2%	N'twn	113	6.9%	H'wck	82	-5.7%	Total	801	3.2%		☹
Ward	YTD	% chg																																														
STC	237	2.2%																																														
P&O	87	16.0%																																														
M&V	80	-2.4%																																														
N'town	78	10.6%																																														
H'wick	58	0.0%																																														
Total top 5	540	4.3%																																														
Ward	YTD	% Chg																																														
STC	363	4.3%																																														
P&O	101	-17.9%																																														
M&V	142	26.2%																																														
N'twn	113	6.9%																																														
H'wck	82	-5.7%																																														
Total	801	3.2%																																														
3.3	<p>Reduce repeat perpetrators of Domestic Violence</p> <p>Reduce the number of perpetrators of DV who re-offend 6 months after completing a programme year on year.</p> <p>Baseline: 23 repeat perpetrators in 2010/11 known to Police prior to the completion of the programme</p>	<p>Four out of five perpetrators who completed the programme six months ago have refrained from offending.</p>	<p>Five out of six perpetrators who completed the programme six months ago have refrained from offending.</p>	<p>Unable to report figure this quarter. Cleveland Police no longer supply data to Harbour. Meeting arranged to try and resolve this issue by the end of the financial year.</p>		-																																										
3.4	<p>Increase the number of repeat victims in MARAC accessing support from Harbour.</p> <p>Increase the take up of support year on year. Aim to increase the % rate of take up based on number accessing treatment as a proportion of all of repeats.</p> <p>Baseline: to be established in 2011/12</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>		n/a																																										

4. DRUG RELATED OFFENDING TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	4.1	<p>Increase the number of female drug users accessing support.</p> <p>Increase year on year</p> <p>Baseline: 365 females in treatment in 2010/11 (27% of total in treatment)</p>	311 females in treatment in Q1 2011/12 (27% of total in treatment)	332 females in treatment at Q2- 26% of 1,258 in treatment in total. This is a reduction of 1% on baseline.	Q3 data not available on ndtms.net until mid-February 2011 and gender breakdown not available on a monthly basis. Data will always be a quarter in arrears for this target		
	4.2	<p>Reduce drug related repeat offending.</p> <p>Identify a cohort of drug using high crime causers. Monitor their offending 12-month prior and 12-month after being on IOM. Evaluation report to SSP April 2012</p> <p>Baseline: Cohort of 29 HCCs to be monitored 2011/12</p> <p>NB: re-set to a 12-month period for consistency with Probation recording</p>	<p>Projected outcomes at 30/06/11:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrests -19.5% • Charges -33% • Convictions -45.7% • +ve drug tests -68.6% 	<p>Projected outcomes at 30/09/11:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrests -24.7% • Charges -31.35% • Convictions -34.85% +ve drug tests -50% 	<p>Projected outcomes as at 31.12.2011</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrests -34.4% • Charges -39.4% • Convictions -34.4% +ve drug tests -57.1% 		
	4.3	<p>Increase the number of people leaving treatment services drug free.</p> <p>Develop wrap around support services for substance misusers in the treatment system with a focus on abstinence.</p> <p>Baseline: 43% (141 of 328 exits were planned exits) in 2010/11</p>	53% (39 of 74) exits were planned in Q1 2011/12; 10% increase on baseline.	<p>Q2 data not available on ndtms.net until mid-November 2011.</p> <p>Data up to August shows that 52% of exists were planned (60 out of 116)</p>	<p>Q3 data not available on ndtms.net until mid-February 2011.</p> <p>Data up to November shows that 49% of exists were planned (81 out of 165)</p>		
	4.4	<p>Reduce the number of individuals repeatedly testing positive for drugs within Arrest Referral.</p> <p>Use IOM and DIP to provided wrap around intensive support.</p> <p>Baseline: 72 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions in 2010/11.</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to end Q1 2011/12:</p> <p>68 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions.</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to end Q2 2011/12:</p> <p>56 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions (-22%)</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to end Q3 2011/12:</p> <p>49 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions (-32%)</p>		

5. CRIMINAL DAMAGE TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status																		
	5.1	Reduce Criminal Damage Maintain a reduction in offences of criminal damage by achieving a reduction year on year. Baseline: 2,589 taken from an average of 2009/10 and 2010/11.	587 offences in quarter one, which is 9.3% below the target to achieve this year	1,249 offences in quarter two YTD, which is 3.5% below the target to achieve this year	1,894 offences at Q3 YTD; this is +125 offences (+7.1%) on the same period last year, but 2.5% below the target to achieve this year		😊																		
	5.2	Reduce Criminal Damage at street level Strategic Group & JAGs to focus interventions on the top five streets for repeat incidents. Review quarterly with an aim to remove from repeat list. Baseline: 2010/11 1. Stirling Way (St'by Hill) = 37 2. High Street (STC) = 20 3. Mansfield Avenue (M & V) = 19 4. Tithe Barn Road (Hardwick) = 18 5. Yarm Road (P & O) = 15	All of the top five streets featured as repeats in quarter one, but there have been reductions against the baseline in all except Tithe Barn Road; 1. Stirling Way = 6 2. High Street = 4 3. Mansfield Ave = 3 4. Tithe Barn Road = 8 5. Yarm Road = 3	Only three of the top five streets featured as repeats in Q2; Tithe Barn Road - 7, Mansfield Avenue - 5 and Stirling Way - 3. Reductions can be seen in four of the streets financial YTD compared to the baseline year: 1. Stirling Way = 9 (-51%) 2. High St (STC) = 5 (-50%) 3. Mansfield Ave = 8 (-16%) 4. Tithe Barn Rd = 15 (+67%) 5. Yarm Rd = 5 (-33%)	All of the top five streets featured as repeats in Q3; Stirling Way = 2 High Street = 3 Mansfield Ave = 4 Tithe Barn Road = 2 Yarm Road = 5 Reductions can be seen in four of the streets financial YTD compared to the baseline year: <table border="1" data-bbox="1435 858 1688 1050"> <thead> <tr> <th>Street</th> <th>YTD</th> <th>%Chg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Stirling Way</td> <td>11</td> <td>-60.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High St</td> <td>10</td> <td>-33.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mansfield Ave</td> <td>12</td> <td>-15.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tithe Barn rd</td> <td>17</td> <td>25.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yarm Rd</td> <td>9</td> <td>-20.0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Street	YTD	%Chg	Stirling Way	11	-60.4%	High St	10	-33.3%	Mansfield Ave	12	-15.8%	Tithe Barn rd	17	25.9%	Yarm Rd	9	-20.0%		😐
	Street	YTD	%Chg																						
Stirling Way	11	-60.4%																							
High St	10	-33.3%																							
Mansfield Ave	12	-15.8%																							
Tithe Barn rd	17	25.9%																							
Yarm Rd	9	-20.0%																							
5.3	Reduce the number of repeat victims of Criminal Damage. All repeat victims to be visited to identify cause and implement actions to reduce victimisation. Aim for a reduction in numbers year on year Baseline: 280 repeat victims in 2010/11	In the 12-month period up to 30/06/11 there have been 27 repeat victims with three or more offences; a 61% reduction on the baseline.	In the 12-month period 01/10/10 – 30/09/11 there have been 31 repeat victims with three or more offences.	In the 12-month period 01/01/11 – 31/12/11 there have been 41 repeat victims with three or more offences.		😊																			

6. EMERGING ISSUES TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	6.1	<p>Reduce convictions for HCCs</p> <p>Use the IOM approach to provide intensive support and enforcement.</p> <p>Baseline: 111 (2010-11)</p>	<p>29 convictions in Q1.</p> <p>Projection for year is 125; a reduction of -96 (43%)</p>	<p>43 convictions in Q2.</p> <p>Projection for year is 144; a reduction of -77 (35%)</p>	<p>37 convictions this quarter</p> <p>Projection for year is 145 a reduction of -76 (34%)</p>		
6.2	<p>Increase the number of HCCs accessing treatment services.</p> <p>Identify the number of HCCs accessing treatment and monitor the number of crimes committed one year prior, during and one year after treatment</p> <p>Baseline: 28 in treatment out of a cohort of 29</p>	<p>27 out of 29 remain in treatment; 1 non-drug user and 1 refuses treatment.</p>	<p>27 out of 29 remain in treatment; 1 non-drug user and 1 refuses treatment.</p>	<p>27 out of 29 remain in treatment; 1 non-drug user and 1 refuses treatment.</p>		